

Noncommutative Differential Forms on the kappa-deformed Space

Stjepan Meljanac¹ and Saša Krešić-Jurić²

¹ Rudjer Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta b.b., 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

² Faculty of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, University of Split, Teslina 12, 21000 Split, Croatia

Abstract

We construct a differential algebra of forms on the kappa-deformed space. For a given realization of noncommutative coordinates as formal power series in the Weyl algebra we find an infinite family of one-forms and nilpotent exterior derivatives. We derive explicit expressions for the exterior derivative and one-forms in covariant and noncovariant realizations. We also introduce higher-order forms and show that the exterior derivative satisfies the graded Leibniz rule. The differential forms are generally not graded-commutative, but they satisfy the graded Jacobi identity. We also consider the star-product of classical differential forms. The star-product is well-defined if the commutator between the noncommutative coordinates and one-forms is closed in the space of one-forms alone. In addition, we show that in certain realizations the exterior derivative acting on the star-product satisfies the undeformed Leibniz rule.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Sv, 02.20.Uw, 02.40.Gh

1 Introduction

Recent years have witnessed a growing interest in the formulation of physical theories on noncommutative (NC) spaces. The structure of NC spaces and their physical implications were studied in [1]-[7]. Such spaces have roots in quantum mechanics where the canonical phase space becomes noncommutative (see [8] for a historical treatment and the references therein). Classification of the NC spaces and investigation of their properties, in particular the development of a general theory suitable for physical applications, is an important problem. In this note we investigate differential calculus in the Euclidean kappa-deformed space. The kappa-space is a mild deformation of the Euclidean space whose coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, satisfy a Lie algebra type commutation relations. The commutation relations for $\hat{x}_\mu$ depend on a deformation vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ which is on a very small length scale and yields the undeformed space when $a \rightarrow 0$. The kappa-space was studied by different groups, from both the mathematical and physical points of view [9]-[33]. It provides a framework for doubly special relativity [18], [19], and it has applications in quantum gravity [34] and quantum field theory [35], [36]

A crucial tool in the development of a physical theory is differential calculus. There have been several attempts to develop differential calculus in the kappa-deformed space [14], [25]. For a general associative algebra Landi gave a construction of a differential algebra of forms in [37]. In this work we present a construction of differential forms and exterior derivative in the kappa-deformed space using realizations of the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ as formal power series in the Weyl algebra. Our approach is based on the methods developed for algebras of deformed oscillators and the corresponding creation and annihilation operators [38]-[47]. The realizations of the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ in various orderings have been found in [26] and [28]. The realization of a general Lie algebra type NC space in the symmetric Weyl ordering has been given in [48].

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we present a novel construction of a differential algebra of forms on the kappa-deformed space. The exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ and one-forms ξ_μ are defined as formal power series in the Lie superalgebra generated by commutative coordinates x_μ , derivatives ∂_μ and

ordinary one-forms dx_μ . The number of one-forms ξ_μ is the same as the number of NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$, and the results are valid for a general deformation vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the present work we do not require compatibility of the differential structure with a kappa-deformed symmetry. This distinguishes our approach from [14] where compatibility of the differential calculus with the kappa-deformed symmetry group was considered. This compatibility requires that in addition to ξ_μ there is an extra one-form ϕ . The realizations of $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ are related to realizations of $\hat{x}_\mu$ through a system of partial differential equations. We also define higher-order forms and show that $\hat{d}$ is a nilpotent operator which satisfies the graded Leibniz rule. However, the differential forms are generally not graded commutative. In the smooth limit when $a \rightarrow 0$ our theory reduces to classical results. In section 3 we analyze the exterior derivative and one-forms in covariant realizations of the kappa-deformed space. We show that the algebra generated by $\hat{x}_\mu$ and ξ_μ generally does not close under the commutator bracket since $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ may involve an infinite series in derivatives ∂_μ . We have derived a condition for the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ to be closed and found realizations in which the condition holds. A similar analysis was carried out by Dimitrijević et. al. in [25], but our results are more general and in certain aspects different. Section 4 deals with the differential algebra of forms in noncovariant realizations. We introduce a general Ansatz for the exterior derivative and find the corresponding one-forms in the left, right and symmetric left-right realization. In these realizations the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is always closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ alone. In section 5 we present a novel construction of the star-product of (classical) differential forms. The star-product depends on realizations of $\hat{x}_\mu$ and is well-defined if the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ alone. We show that for differential forms with constant coefficients the star-product is undeformed and graded-commutative. However, this property does not hold for arbitrary forms. Also, we consider the induced exterior derivative acting on the star-product of differential forms. A short conclusion is given in section 6.

2 Differential Forms

In this section we present a general construction of a differential algebra of forms in the Euclidean kappa-deformed space. This construction is based on realizations of the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ as formal power series in the Weyl algebra introduced in [26] and [28]. We find that for a given realization of $\hat{x}_\mu$ there is an infinite family of exterior derivatives $\hat{d}$ and one-forms ξ_μ where ξ_μ are obtained by the action of $\hat{d}$ on $\hat{x}_\mu$. This infinite family includes two canonical types of $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ whose realizations are studied in detail in the following sections.

The n -dimensional kappa-deformed space is a noncommutative space of Lie algebra type with generators $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ satisfying the commutation relations

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i(a_\mu \hat{x}_\nu - a_\nu \hat{x}_\mu), \quad a_\mu \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

The vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ describes the deformation of the n -dimensional Euclidean space. The Lie algebra satisfying (1) will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. The structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ are given by

$$C_{\mu\nu\lambda} = a_\mu \delta_{\nu\lambda} - a_\nu \delta_{\mu\lambda}. \quad (2)$$

Our construction of the differential calculus uses realizations of $\hat{x}_\mu$ as formal power series in the deformation parameter a with coefficients in the Weyl algebra. The Weyl algebra is generated by the operators x_μ and ∂_μ , $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, satisfying $[x_\mu, x_\nu] = [\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] = 0$ and $[\partial_\mu, x_\nu] = \delta_{\mu\nu}$. It has been shown in [26] and [28] that there exist infinitely many realizations of $\hat{x}_\mu$ of the form

$$\hat{x}_\mu = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial), \quad (3)$$

where $\phi_{\alpha\mu}$ is a formal power series

$$\phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial) = \delta_{\alpha\mu} + \sum_{|k| \geq 1} c_k a^{|k|} \partial^k. \quad (4)$$

We denote $\partial^k = \partial_1^{k_1} \partial_2^{k_2} \dots \partial_n^{k_n}$ where k is a multi-index of length $|k| = \sum_{\mu} k_{\mu}$. In the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ we have $\phi_{\alpha\mu} \rightarrow \delta_{\alpha\mu}$, whence $\hat{x}_\mu$ become the commutative coordinates x_μ . A representation (3) of the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ will be called a ϕ -realization. The NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ and derivatives ∂_μ generate a deformed

Heisenberg algebra satisfying

$$[\partial_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = \phi_{\mu\nu}(\partial). \quad (5)$$

We will assume that the matrix $[\phi_{\mu\nu}]$ is invertible, allowing us to express x_μ as

$$x_\mu = \sum_{\alpha} \hat{x}_\alpha \phi_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial), \quad (6)$$

where $\phi_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial)$ is also a formal power series of the type (4). The existence of $\phi_{\mu\nu}^{-1}$ implies that there is a vector space isomorphism between the symmetric algebra generated by x_μ , $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. This isomorphism will be important in defining the star-product discussed in section 5. With regard to the action of the rotation algebra $so(n)$ the realizations of the kappa-space can be divided into covariant [28] and noncovariant [26]. Both types of realizations will be used in the construction of differential forms in sections 3 and 4.

It is useful to introduce a unital associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ generated by x_μ , ∂_μ and ordinary one-forms dx_μ , $1 \leq \mu \leq n$, satisfying the additional relations $[dx_\mu, x_\nu] = [dx_\mu, \partial_\nu] = 0$ and $\{dx_\mu, dx_\nu\} = 0$ where $\{, \}$ denotes the anticommutator. A basis for $\mathcal{A}$ consists of the monomials

$$x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \partial_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial_n^{\beta_n} dx_{\sigma_1} \dots dx_{\sigma_p} \quad (7)$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $1 \leq \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots < \sigma_p \leq n$ for $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We define a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gradation of $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ where $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ are spanned by the monomials (7) with p even and odd, respectively. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is equipped with the graded commutator defined on homogeneous elements by

$$[[u, v]] = uv - (-1)^{|u||v|}vu, \quad (8)$$

where $|u|$ denotes the degree of u , ($|u| = 0$ or $|u| = 1$). The commutator (8) makes $\mathcal{A}$ into a Lie superalgebra, and it satisfies the graded Jacobi identity

$$(-1)^{|u||w|}[[u, [[v, w]]]] + (-1)^{|v||u|}[[v, [[w, u]]]] + (-1)^{|w||v|}[[w, [[u, v]]]] = 0. \quad (9)$$

Recall that in the ordinary Euclidean space the exterior derivative is given by $d = \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}$. It is a nilpotent operator, $d^2 = 0$, satisfying the commutation

relation $[d, x_\mu] = dx_\mu$. Our goal is to construct smooth deformations of d and dx_μ , denoted $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ , $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, which preserve the basic relation

$$[\hat{d}, \hat{x}_\mu] = \xi_\mu. \quad (10)$$

Let us assume that $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ are represented by

$$\xi_\mu = \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{d} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} dx_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} k_{\alpha\beta}(\partial), \quad (11)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $k_{\mu\nu}$ are formal power series of the type (4). The boundary conditions $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} h_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} k_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ ensure that in the smooth limit $\xi_\mu \rightarrow dx_\mu$ and $\hat{d} \rightarrow d$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. As in the classical case, the deformed one-forms anticommute and the exterior derivative is nilpotent. Indeed,

$$\{\xi_\mu, \xi_\nu\} = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} \{dx_{\alpha}, dx_{\beta}\} (h_{\alpha\mu} h_{\beta\nu} + h_{\alpha\nu} h_{\beta\mu}) = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{d}^2 = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} \{dx_{\alpha}, dx_{\beta}\} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} k_{\alpha\mu} k_{\beta\nu} = 0, \quad (13)$$

since $\{dx_{\alpha}, dx_{\beta}\} = 0$. We assume that the matrix $[h_{\mu\nu}]$ is invertible so that we may express dx_μ in terms of ξ_μ . Using representation (11) one finds that the commutation relation (10) is equivalent to a system of partial differential equations for the unknown functions $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $k_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\sum_{\rho} \left(k_{\alpha\rho} + \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial k_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \partial_{\rho}} \partial_{\beta} \right) \phi_{\rho\mu} = h_{\alpha\mu}. \quad (14)$$

This is an underdetermined system of n^2 equations for $2n^2$ unknown functions. Taking the commutator of $\hat{d}$ with both sides of the commutation relations (1), and applying the Jacobi identity to the commutator $[\hat{d}, [\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]]$, we find that $\hat{x}_\mu$ and ξ_ν satisfy the compatibility condition

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \xi_\nu] - [\hat{x}_\nu, \xi_\mu] = i(a_\mu \xi_\nu - a_\nu \xi_\mu). \quad (15)$$

Hence, every solution of Eq. (14) must be compatible with the differential equation implicit in (15). We note that Eq. (15) implies that, since $a \neq 0$, not all commutators $[\hat{x}_\mu, \xi_\nu]$ can be simultaneously zero.

The condition (15) places constraints on the choice of $k_{\mu\nu}$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$. For a given function $k_{\mu\nu}$ satisfying $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} k_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, Eq. (14) uniquely determines $h_{\mu\nu}$. The boundary conditions imposed on $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ and $k_{\mu\nu}$ imply that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} h_{\alpha\mu} = \delta_{\alpha\mu}$ automatically holds. Therefore, starting with the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ one readily finds the one-forms ξ_μ satisfying Eq. (10). However, the converse is not true since one cannot always find $k_{\mu\nu}$ for an arbitrary choice of $h_{\mu\nu}$. For example, if $h_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ then Eq. (11) implies that ξ_μ is the ordinary one-form, $\xi_\mu = dx_\mu$. In this case $[\hat{x}_\mu, \xi_\nu] = 0$ for all $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, which contradicts the compatibility condition (15).

Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ denote the formal completion of $\mathcal{A}$. We associate to the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ a linear map or action $\hat{d}: \bar{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$\hat{d} \cdot u = [[\hat{d}, u]]. \quad (16)$$

It follows from Eq. (10) that $\hat{d} \cdot \hat{x}_\mu = \xi_\mu$, hence the action of $\hat{d}$ on the coordinate $\hat{x}_\mu$ yields the one-form ξ_μ . The action of $\hat{d}$ on the product of homogeneous elements $u, v \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ satisfies the graded Leibniz rule

$$\hat{d} \cdot (uv) = (\hat{d} \cdot u)v + (-1)^{|u|} u(\hat{d} \cdot v). \quad (17)$$

For zero-forms $\hat{f} = \hat{f}(\hat{x})$ and $\hat{g} = \hat{g}(\hat{x})$ this reduces to the undeformed Leibniz rule

$$\hat{d} \cdot (\hat{f}\hat{g}) = (\hat{d} \cdot \hat{f})\hat{g} + \hat{f}(\hat{d} \cdot \hat{g}). \quad (18)$$

It turns out that it is quite natural to consider the following canonical representation of $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ :

Type I

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}, \quad \xi_{\mu} = \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} \phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial), \quad (19)$$

Type II

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}, \quad \xi_{\mu} = \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial). \quad (20)$$

The first type is obtained by choosing $k_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$, in which case Eq. (14) yields $h_{\mu\nu} = \phi_{\mu\nu}$. This provides the simplest possible realization of the one-form ξ_μ .

The second type is obtained by demanding that $k_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}$. Then the functions $h_{\mu\nu}$ satisfy the system of partial differential equations

$$\sum_{\rho} \left(h_{\alpha\rho} + \sum_{\beta} \frac{\partial h_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \partial_{\rho}} \partial_{\beta} \right) \phi_{\rho\mu} = h_{\alpha\mu} \quad (21)$$

subject to the boundary conditions $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} h_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}$. In this case both the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ and one-forms ξ_{μ} depend in a very nontrivial manner on the given ϕ -realization. In the following sections we shall analyze $\hat{d}$ and ξ_{μ} in covariant and noncovariant realizations found in [26] and [28]. Note that the generators $\hat{x}_{\mu}, \partial_{\mu}, \xi_{\mu}$, $1 \leq \mu \leq n$, form an associative superalgebra which inherits the grading from the superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$. The subalgebra generated by $\hat{x}_{\mu}, \partial_{\mu}$, $1 \leq \mu \leq n$ is the deformed Heisenberg algebra (5).

So far we have defined the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ and one-forms ξ_{μ} such that $\hat{d} \cdot \hat{x}_{\mu} = \xi_{\mu}$. We would like to extend the above construction to higher-order forms so that the action of $\hat{d}$ on k -forms yields $(k+1)$ -forms. First, we need to define what is meant by a k -form for $k \geq 1$. A k -form is a finite linear combination of monomials in $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ such that there are precisely k one-forms ξ_{μ} in each monomial. The one-forms ξ_{μ} may be placed in any order in a given monomial. For example, both $\hat{\omega}^1 = \hat{x}_{\mu} \hat{x}_{\nu} \xi_{\rho}$ and $\hat{\eta}^1 = \hat{x}_{\mu} \xi_{\rho} \hat{x}_{\nu}$ are one-forms, albeit different. Let $\hat{\Omega}^k$ denote the space of k -forms and let $\hat{\Omega} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \hat{\Omega}^k$. The multiplication in $\hat{\Omega}$ is simply given by juxtaposition of the elements. This defines a grading on $\hat{\Omega}$ since $\hat{\Omega}^k \hat{\Omega}^l \subseteq \hat{\Omega}^{k+l}$. We note that the product of differential forms is not graded-commutative in general,

$$\hat{\omega}^k \hat{\eta}^l \neq (-1)^{kl} \hat{\eta}^l \hat{\omega}^k. \quad (22)$$

The product is graded-commutative only for constant forms $\hat{\omega}^k = \xi_{\mu_1} \xi_{\mu_2} \dots \xi_{\mu_k}$ since ξ_{μ_i} and ξ_{μ_j} anticommute.

Next we show that the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ maps $\hat{\Omega}^k$ into $\hat{\Omega}^{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$. First, using the Leibniz rule (17) it is easily seen that

$$\hat{d} \cdot \hat{f}(\hat{x}) \in \hat{\Omega}^1 \quad \text{for all} \quad \hat{f}(\hat{x}) \in \hat{\Omega}^0. \quad (23)$$

Furthermore, using Eq. (11) we find

$$\hat{d} \cdot \xi_{\mu} = [[\hat{d}, \xi_{\mu}]] = \hat{d}\xi_{\mu} + \xi_{\mu}\hat{d} = 0 \quad (24)$$

since $\{dx_\mu, dx_\nu\} = 0$. By induction on k one can show that

$$\hat{d} \cdot (\xi_{\mu_1} \xi_{\mu_2} \dots \xi_{\mu_k}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1. \quad (25)$$

The relations (23) and (25) together with the Leibniz rule (17) imply that $\hat{d}$ maps k -forms to $(k+1)$ -forms. For example,

$$\hat{d} \cdot (\hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu \xi_\lambda) = \hat{d} \cdot (\hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu) \xi_\lambda = \xi_\mu \hat{x}_\nu \xi_\lambda + \hat{x}_\mu \xi_\nu \xi_\lambda. \quad (26)$$

The exterior derivative satisfies the graded Leibniz rule

$$\hat{d} \cdot (\hat{\omega}^k \hat{\eta}^l) = (\hat{d} \cdot \hat{\omega}^k) \hat{\eta}^l + (-1)^k \hat{\omega}^k (\hat{d} \cdot \hat{\eta}^l). \quad (27)$$

Hence, the algebra $\hat{\Omega}$ together with the linear map $\hat{d}: \hat{\Omega}^k \rightarrow \hat{\Omega}^{k+1}$ is a differential algebra. Our approach is essentially the same as the construction of the differential algebra of forms discussed in [37]. In our case the algebra of zero-forms has the additional structure of the universal enveloping algebra satisfying relations (1). We note that in general one cannot rewrite a given k -form such that $\xi_{\mu_1}, \xi_{\mu_2}, \dots, \xi_{\mu_k}$ are placed to the far right. This is possible only in special realizations in which the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ closes in the space of one-forms ξ_μ alone.

3 Covariant realizations

In this section we shall investigate the differential algebra of forms in covariant realizations of the kappa-deformed space introduced in [28]. These realizations are covariant under the action of the rotation algebra $so(n)$. Of particular interest is a class of simple realizations obtained for the following choice of $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ in the representation (3):

Left realization:

$$\phi_{\mu\nu} = (1 - A)\delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (28)$$

Right realization:

$$\phi_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} + ia_\nu \partial_\mu \quad (29)$$

Natural realization:

$$\phi_{\mu\nu}(\partial) = (-A + \sqrt{1 - B})\delta_{\mu\nu} + ia_\mu \partial_\nu, \quad (30)$$

Symmetric realization:

$$\phi_{\mu\nu} = \frac{A}{e^A - 1} \delta_{\mu\nu} + i a_\nu \partial_\mu \frac{e^A - A - 1}{(e^A - 1)A} \quad (31)$$

Here A and B are commuting operators defined by $A = i a \partial$ and $B = a^2 \partial^2$ where we use the convention $a \partial = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha \partial_\alpha$, $\partial^2 = \sum_\alpha \partial_\alpha^2$, etc. The symmetric realization corresponds to the Weyl symmetric ordering of the monomials in $\hat{x}_\mu$. We remark that for a general Lie algebra type NC space there is a universal formula for $\phi_{\mu\nu}$ in Weyl symmetric ordering given in [48] as follows. Suppose $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ are generators of a Lie algebra with structure constants $\theta_{\mu\nu\alpha}$:

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i \sum_\alpha \theta_{\mu\nu\alpha} \hat{x}_\alpha. \quad (32)$$

Let $M = [M_{\mu\nu}]$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix of differential operators with elements

$$M_{\mu\nu} = i \sum_\alpha \theta_{\alpha\nu\mu} \partial_\alpha. \quad (33)$$

Then the Weyl symmetric realization of the Lie algebra (32) is given by

$$\phi_{\mu\nu}(\partial) = p(M)_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{where} \quad p(M) = \frac{M}{e^M - 1} \quad (34)$$

is the generating function for the Bernoulli numbers (see also [49]). In principle the exterior derivative and one-forms may be constructed using any of the above realizations. Here we shall consider the left, right and natural realization.

3.1 Covariant realizations of type I

Let us consider realizations of type I where the exterior derivative is undeformed, $\hat{d} = \sum_\alpha dx_\alpha \partial_\alpha$, and one-forms are given by $\xi_\mu = \sum_\alpha dx_\alpha \phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$. We investigate the conditions under which the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ . The closedness of the commutator is important when considering the extended star-product of (classical) forms in section 5.

Using realization (3) we have

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = \sum_\alpha \sum_\beta dx_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha\mu}}{\partial \partial_\beta} \phi_{\beta\nu}. \quad (35)$$

The matrix $[\phi_{\mu\nu}]$ is invertible, hence we may express dx_μ in terms of ξ_μ to obtain

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = \sum_{\sigma} C_{\mu\nu\sigma}(\partial) \xi_\sigma \quad (36)$$

where

$$C_{\mu\nu\sigma}(\partial) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \phi_{\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha\mu}}{\partial \partial_{\beta}} \phi_{\beta\nu}. \quad (37)$$

Clearly, the commutator (36) is closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ only if the coefficients $C_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ are constant. This condition is satisfied in the left and right realizations, as shown in the following. In the left realization we have

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu(1 - A), \quad \xi_\mu = dx_\mu(1 - A), \quad (38)$$

which yields

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = -ia_\nu \xi_\mu. \quad (39)$$

Similarly, in the right realization we have

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu + ia_\mu(x\partial), \quad \xi_\mu = dx_\mu + ia_\mu(dx\partial), \quad (40)$$

which leads to

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = ia_\mu \xi_\nu. \quad (41)$$

On the other hand, in the natural and symmetric realizations the coefficients $C_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ involve partial derivatives so the commutators between ξ_μ and $\hat{x}_\nu$ are not closed.

3.2 Covariant realizations of type II

Consider now realizations of type II where the exterior derivative and one-forms are given by $\hat{d} = \sum_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}$ and $\xi_{\mu} = \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$, and $h_{\alpha\mu}$ is a solution of Eq. (21). In this section we shall construct $\hat{d}$ and ξ_{μ} using the natural realization (30). The construction of NC forms in type II realization was considered in [25], but not in a proper and complete way. Our motivation for using the natural realization is to present a proper analysis of this problem.

Let us write Eq. (21) in a more compact form

$$\sum_{\rho} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{\alpha}}{\partial \partial_{\rho}} \phi_{\rho\mu} = h_{\alpha\mu} \quad (42)$$

where $\Lambda_\alpha(\partial) = \sum_\beta h_{\alpha\beta}(\partial)\partial_\beta$. The idea is to solve an auxiliary problem for Λ_α and then calculate $h_{\mu\nu}$ from Eq. (42). Multiplying Eq. (42) by ∂_μ and summing we obtain the following boundary value problem for Λ_α :

$$\sum_\rho \frac{\partial \Lambda_\alpha}{\partial \partial_\rho} \Psi_\rho = \Lambda_\alpha, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_\alpha = \partial_\alpha, \quad (43)$$

where $\Psi_\rho(\partial) = \sum_\mu \phi_{\rho\mu}(\partial)\partial_\mu$. In the natural realization (30) we find

$$\Psi_\rho(\partial) = \partial_\rho(-A + \sqrt{1-B}) + ia_\rho \partial^2. \quad (44)$$

Let us denote $Z^{-1} = -A + \sqrt{1-B}$. This is the inverse shift operator introduced in [28]. The index structure of Ψ_ρ and Eq. (43) suggest that we should look for Λ_α in the form

$$\Lambda_\alpha(\partial) = \partial_\alpha H_1(A, B) + ia_\alpha \partial^2 H_2(A, B) \quad (45)$$

for unknown functions H_1 and H_2 . From Eqs. (44) and (45) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\rho \frac{\partial \Lambda_\alpha}{\partial \partial_\rho} \Psi_\rho &= \partial_\alpha \left[\left(H_1 + A \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial A} + 2B \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial B} \right) Z^{-1} - B \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial A} + 2AB \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial B} \right] \\ &+ ia_\alpha \partial^2 \left[\left(2H_2 + A \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial A} + 2B \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial B} \right) Z^{-1} + H_1 + 2AH_2 - B \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial A} + 2AB \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial B} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Substituting the above result into Eq. (43) we find that H_1 and H_2 satisfy the following system of differential equations:

$$\left(H_1 + A \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial A} + 2B \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial B} \right) Z^{-1} - B \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial A} + 2AB \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial B} = H_1, \quad (47)$$

$$\left(2H_2 + A \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial A} + 2B \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial B} \right) Z^{-1} - B \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial A} + 2AB \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial B} + 2AH_2 + H_1 = H_2. \quad (48)$$

Since $\Lambda_\alpha(\partial) \rightarrow \partial_\alpha$ as $a \rightarrow 0$, H_1 and H_2 are subject to the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} H_1(A, B) = 1, \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} H_2(A, B) \text{ finite}. \quad (49)$$

It is shown in Appendix A that the above system has a unique solution

$$H_1(A, B) = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1-B})}{B(-A + \sqrt{1-B})}, \quad (50)$$

$$H_2(A, B) = -2(1 - A + \sqrt{1-B}) \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-B}}{B} \right)^2. \quad (51)$$

Inserting the expressions for H_1 and H_2 into Eq. (45) we find

$$\Lambda_\alpha(\partial) = \partial_\alpha \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})}{B(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})} - ia_\alpha \partial^2 \frac{2(1 - A + \sqrt{1 - B})}{B} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - B}}{B} \right)^2. \quad (52)$$

Since the exterior derivative is given by $\hat{d} = \sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha \partial_\alpha$ where $\xi_\mu = \sum_\alpha dx_\alpha h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$, $\hat{d}$ can be expressed in terms of Λ_α as

$$\hat{d} = \sum_\alpha dx_\alpha \Lambda_\alpha(\partial). \quad (53)$$

Thus, we find from Eq. (52) that

$$\hat{d} = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})}{B(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})} (\partial dx) - 2(1 - A + \sqrt{1 - B}) \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - B}}{B} \right)^2 i(adx) \partial^2. \quad (54)$$

Keeping only the first-order terms in $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we obtain the approximation

$$\hat{d} = \partial dx + i(a\partial)(\partial dx) - i\partial^2(adx), \quad (55)$$

where $d = \partial dx$ is the undeformed exterior derivative.

Next we consider the one-form ξ_μ . Substituting Eqs. (30) and (52) into Eq. (42) we find after some manipulation that

$$h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial) = L_1 \delta_{\alpha\mu} + iL_2 a_\alpha \partial_\mu + iL_3 a_\mu \partial_\alpha + a^2 L_4 \partial_\alpha \partial_\mu - \partial^2 L_5 a_\alpha a_\mu, \quad (56)$$

where

$$L_1 = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})}{B}, \quad (57)$$

$$L_2 = -\frac{2(-1 + \sqrt{1 - B}) [2(A^2 + A - B)\sqrt{1 - B} + B - 2(A^2 - 2AB + A)]}{B^2(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})}, \quad (58)$$

$$L_3 = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})}{B(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})}, \quad (59)$$

$$L_4 = -\frac{2(B + 2\sqrt{1 - B} - 2)}{B^2(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})}, \quad (60)$$

$$L_5 = \frac{2(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})^2}{B^2}. \quad (61)$$

Therefore, in the natural realization of type II the one-form ξ_μ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\mu &= \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial) dx_\alpha \\ &= L_1 dx_\mu + (iL_2 \partial_\mu - \partial^2 L_5 a_\mu) (adx) + (iL_3 a_\mu + a^2 L_4 \partial_\mu) (\partial dx).\end{aligned}\quad (62)$$

Although the above realization of ξ_μ is rather complicated, the first-order approximation has a particularly nice form

$$\xi_\mu = dx_\mu + \sum_{\alpha} i(a_\mu \partial_\alpha - a_\alpha \partial_\mu) dx_\alpha. \quad (63)$$

Let us now investigate the commutation relations for ξ_μ and $\hat{x}_\nu$. The NC coordinates in the natural realization (30) are given by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu(-A + \sqrt{1-B}) + i(ax)\partial_\mu. \quad (64)$$

The explicit form of the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is fairly complicated and a complete derivation is given in Appendix B. Here we only state that it can be expressed as

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = \xi_\mu \frac{P_\nu^{(1)}}{L_1} + \xi_\nu \frac{P_\mu^{(2)}}{L_1} + (ia\xi) R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (\partial\xi) R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} \quad (65)$$

where $P_\mu^{(i)}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ are certain combinations of the functions $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_5$ and their partial derivatives. We note that the commutator (65) is not closed since the right-hand side involves derivatives ∂_μ . To gain an insight into the form of the commutator it is instructive to find a first-order approximation in the parameter a . To first order in a the natural realization of $\hat{x}_\mu$ is given by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu(1 - ia\partial) + i(ax)\partial_\mu. \quad (66)$$

Using the approximations (63) and (66) we obtain

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i \sum_{\alpha} (a_\mu \delta_{\alpha\nu} - a_\alpha \delta_{\mu\nu}) \xi_\alpha. \quad (67)$$

As a special case suppose that the vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has only one non-zero component, $a_\mu = a\delta_{\mu n}$ for $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = ia(\delta_{\mu n} \xi_\nu - \delta_{\mu\nu} \xi_n). \quad (68)$$

The above result agrees to first order in a with the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ for vector-like transforming one-forms considered in [25]. We emphasize, however, that the exact expression (65) does not agree with this commutator for higher orders in a .

4 Noncovariant realizations

In this section we consider the exterior derivative and one-forms in noncovariant realizations of the kappa-space introduced in [26]. We assume that the components of the deformation vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are given by $a_k = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $a_n = a$. Then the commutation relations (1) yield

$$[\hat{x}_k, \hat{x}_l] = 0, \quad [\hat{x}_n, \hat{x}_k] = ia\hat{x}_k, \quad k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (69)$$

We use the Latin alphabet for the indices $1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and the Greek alphabet for the full set $1, 2, \dots, n$. It was shown in [26] that the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ have infinitely many realizations of the form

$$\hat{x}_k = x_k \varphi(A), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (70)$$

$$\hat{x}_n = x_n + ia \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \partial_k \gamma(A), \quad (71)$$

where

$$\gamma(A) = \frac{\varphi'(A)}{\varphi(A)} + 1, \quad A = ia\partial_n. \quad (72)$$

The realizations are parametrized by the function $\varphi(A)$ satisfying the boundary conditions $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \varphi(A) = 1$ and $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \varphi'(A)$ finite, so that $\hat{x}_\mu \rightarrow x_\mu$ as $a \rightarrow 0$. The NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ are covariant under the rotation algebra $so(n-1)$, but not generally under the full algebra $so(n)$.

The most general Ansatz for the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ invariant under $so(n-1)$ is

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} dx_k \partial_k N_1(A, \Delta) + dx_n \partial_n N_2(A, \Delta) + ia dx_n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \partial_k^2 G(A, \Delta) \quad (73)$$

where $\Delta = (ia)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \partial_k^2$. The family of realizations (70)-(71) includes special realizations corresponding to the left, right, symmetric left-right and symmetric Weyl orderings for the enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra (69). These realizations are parameterized by

$$\varphi(A) = e^{-A}, \quad \varphi(A) = 1, \quad \varphi(A) = e^{-A/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(A) = A/(e^A - 1), \quad (74)$$

respectively. We remark that only the symmetric Weyl realization is covariant under the full algebra $so(n)$.

For a given parameter function φ and an arbitrary choice of N_1 , N_2 and G one can find the one-forms ξ_k satisfying $[\hat{d}, \hat{x}_\mu] = \xi_\mu$. As in the case of the covariant realizations one can express the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ in terms of the one-forms ξ_μ and partial derivatives ∂_μ , but the general expressions are fairly complicated.

In the following we will focus our attention to a subfamily of the noncovariant realizations which lead to some interesting results. These realizations are parameterized by $\varphi(A) = e^{-cA}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\hat{x}_k = x_k e^{-cA}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (75)$$

$$\hat{x}_n = x_n + ia(1-c) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k \partial_k. \quad (76)$$

They include the left, right and symmetric left-right realizations for $c = 1$, $c = 0$ and $c = 1/2$, respectively. Let us define the exterior derivative by

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} dx_k \partial_k e^{(c-1)A} + dx_n \partial_n \quad (77)$$

($N_1 = e^{(c-1)A}$, $N_2 = 1$, $G = 0$). Then the corresponding one-forms are given by

$$\xi_k = [\hat{d}, \hat{x}_k] = dx_k e^{-A}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (78)$$

$$\xi_n = [\hat{d}, \hat{x}_n] = dx_n. \quad (79)$$

The algebra generated by $\hat{x}_\mu$ and ξ_μ satisfies the commutation relations

$$[\xi_k, \hat{x}_l] = 0, \quad [\xi_k, \hat{x}_n] = -ia\xi_k, \quad (80)$$

$$[\xi_n, \hat{x}_l] = 0, \quad [\xi_n, \hat{x}_n] = 0. \quad (81)$$

This algebra satisfies the graded Jacobi relations (9). We note that the relations (80)-(81) correspond to the algebra found by Kim et. al. [50] where the commutators are defined in terms of the star-product, except that in our work ξ_μ and ξ_ν anticommute. In particular, for $c = 0$ the exterior derivative becomes

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} dx_k \partial_k e^{-A} + dx_n \partial_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \xi_\alpha \partial_\alpha, \quad (82)$$

which is the type II realization of $\hat{d}$. In addition to the examples in section 3 the commutators (80)-(81) also close in the space of one-forms ξ_μ alone. Moreover, the right realization ($c = 0$) is an example of a type II realization with closed commutator.

The above construction can be extended to any parameter function φ . It can be shown that for a given φ one can find N_1 , N_2 and G such that $\hat{d} = \sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha \partial_\alpha$ and $[\hat{d}, \hat{x}_\mu] = \xi_\mu$. However, this may be very complicated as already seen in the natural realization in section 3.

5 Extended star-product

Regarding functions as zero-forms we want to extend the star-product to differential forms of arbitrary degree. The star-product of differential forms in the context of deformation quantization has been investigated recently in [51]. The construction of the star-product presented here is valid for a general Lie algebra type noncommutative space. We recall that the realization of NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ in terms of x_μ and ∂_μ is given by Eq. (3). Also, since the matrix $[\phi_{\mu\nu}]$ is invertible the commutative coordinates x_μ admit realization in terms of $\hat{x}_\mu$ and ∂_μ via Eq. (6). The duality between $\hat{x}_\mu$ and x_μ induces a vector space isomorphism $\Omega_\phi: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ between the enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra (1) and the symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}$ generated by x_μ , $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The isomorphism Ω_ϕ depends on the realization ϕ , and is given as follows. Let 1 denote the unit in $\mathcal{S}$ ($\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to the Fock space built on the vacuum vector $|0\rangle \equiv 1$). Then x_μ and ∂_μ act on $f \in \mathcal{S}$ in a natural way by $x_\mu \cdot f = x_\mu f$ and $\partial_\mu \cdot f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_\mu}$. In particular,

$$x_\mu \cdot 1 = x_\mu, \quad \partial_\mu \cdot 1 = 0. \quad (83)$$

For a monomial $\hat{f}(\hat{x}) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ we define

$$\Omega_\phi(\hat{f}(\hat{x})) = \hat{f}(\hat{x}) \cdot 1 \equiv f(x), \quad (84)$$

and extend Ω_ϕ linearly to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. The map Ω_ϕ is evaluated at $\hat{f}(\hat{x})$ by using the realization (3) and action (83). For example,

$$\Omega_\phi(\hat{x}_\mu) = \sum_\alpha (x_\alpha \phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)) \cdot 1 = x_\mu \quad (85)$$

since $\phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial) = \delta_{\alpha\mu} + o(\partial)$. Similarly, for monomials of order two we have

$$\Omega_\phi(\hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu) = x_\mu x_\nu + \sum_\alpha x_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha\mu}}{\partial \partial_\nu} \cdot 1 \quad (86)$$

where $\frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha\mu}}{\partial \partial_\nu} \cdot 1$ is a first-order coefficient in the Taylor expansion of $\phi_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$. In general, $\Omega_\phi(\hat{x}_{\mu_1} \hat{x}_{\mu_2} \dots \hat{x}_{\mu_m})$ is a polynomial in the variables $x_{\mu_1}, x_{\mu_2}, \dots, x_{\mu_m}$ whose coefficients are given by the Taylor expansion of $\phi_{\mu\nu}$. The computation of $\Omega_\phi(\hat{x}_{\mu_1} \hat{x}_{\mu_2} \dots \hat{x}_{\mu_m})$ can be done using a recursive formula. Suppose that

$$\Omega_\phi(\hat{x}_{\mu_2} \hat{x}_{\mu_3} \dots \hat{x}_{\mu_m}) = p(x_{\mu_2}, x_{\mu_3}, \dots, x_{\mu_m}). \quad (87)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\phi(\hat{x}_{\mu_1} \hat{x}_{\mu_2} \dots \hat{x}_{\mu_m}) &= x_{\mu_1} p(x_{\mu_2}, x_{\mu_3}, \dots, x_{\mu_m}) \\ &+ \sum_\alpha x_\alpha [\phi_{\alpha\mu_1}, p(x_{\mu_2}, x_{\mu_3}, \dots, x_{\mu_m})] \cdot 1. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

The commutator in the above expression is calculated according to

$$[\phi_{\alpha\mu}, x_1 x_2 \dots x_k] = [\phi_{\alpha\mu}, x_1] x_2 \dots x_k + x_1 [\phi_{\alpha\mu}, x_2] \dots x_k + \dots + x_1 \dots x_{k-1} [\phi_{\alpha\mu}, x_k]. \quad (89)$$

The inverse map Ω_ϕ^{-1} is defined analogously. Let $\hat{1}$ be the unit in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Define the action of $\hat{x}_\mu$ on a monomial $\hat{f}(\hat{x}) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by $\hat{x}_\mu \cdot \hat{f}(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}_\mu \hat{f}(\hat{x})$. The action of ∂_μ on $\hat{f}(\hat{x})$ is defined by $\partial_\mu \cdot \hat{1} = 0$ and $\partial_\mu \cdot \hat{f}(\hat{x}) = (\partial_\mu \hat{f}(\hat{x})) \cdot \hat{1}$ where $\partial_\mu \hat{f}(\hat{x})$ is expressed using the commutation relations $[\partial_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = \phi_{\mu\nu}(\partial)$. For the lowest order vector we have

$$\hat{x}_\mu \cdot \hat{1} = \hat{x}_\mu, \quad \partial_\mu \cdot \hat{1} = 0. \quad (90)$$

Then Ω_ϕ^{-1} is given by

$$\Omega_\phi^{-1}(f(x)) = f(x) \cdot \hat{1} \equiv \hat{f}(\hat{x}) \quad (91)$$

where $f(x) \cdot \hat{1}$ is calculated using the realization (6) and relations (90). For example,

$$\Omega_\phi^{-1}(x_\mu) = \sum_\alpha \hat{x}_\alpha \phi_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial) \cdot \hat{1} = \hat{x}_\mu \quad (92)$$

since $\phi_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial) = \delta_{\alpha\mu} + o(\partial)$, and for monomials of order two we have

$$\Omega_\phi^{-1}(x_\mu x_\nu) = \hat{x}_\mu \hat{x}_\nu + \sum_\alpha \hat{x}_\alpha \frac{\partial \phi_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}}{\partial \partial_\nu} \cdot \hat{1}. \quad (93)$$

One can show that the right hand side of Eq. (93) is invariant under the transposition of indices $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$, hence $\Omega_\phi^{-1}(x_\mu x_\nu)$ is well-defined. Clearly, Ω_ϕ and Ω_ϕ^{-1} can be readily extended to $\overline{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$, the formal completions of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{S}$. The star-product of $f, g \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by

$$(f \star_\phi g)(x) = (\hat{f}(\hat{x})\hat{g}(\hat{x})) \cdot 1 \quad (94)$$

where $\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = \Omega_\phi^{-1}(f(x))$ and $\hat{g}(\hat{x}) = \Omega_\phi^{-1}(g(x))$. In the limit as the deformation parameter $a \rightarrow 0$ the star-product reduces to ordinary product of functions (c.f. Eq. (4)). The star-product on the kappa-deformed space was discussed in [26], [28], [29]; see also [52].

Equation (94) defines the star-product of zero-forms. Following the ideas outlined above we want to extend the star-product to differential forms of arbitrary degree. Our strategy is to associate to ω^k a noncommutative form $\hat{\omega}^k$ such that $\hat{\omega}^k \cdot 1 = \omega^k$, and define the star-product by

$$\omega^k \star_\phi \eta^l = (\hat{\omega}^k \hat{\eta}^l) \cdot 1. \quad (95)$$

It turns out that the star-product (95) is well-defined provided the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ alone. It depends only on the realizations of the coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$, hence we also denote it by $\star_\phi$.

First let us consider the star-product of constant forms. Recall that the noncommutative one-form ξ_μ is defined by $\xi_\mu = \sum_\alpha dx_\alpha h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$ where $h_{\alpha\mu}$ satisfies Eq. (14). The matrix $[h_{\mu\nu}]$ is invertible, hence there is a dual relation $dx_\mu = \sum_\alpha \xi_\alpha h_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial)$. Since $h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$ is a power series of the type (4), and dx_μ and ∂_ν commute, we have

$$(\xi_{\mu_1} \xi_{\mu_2} \dots \xi_{\mu_k}) \cdot 1 = dx_{\mu_1} dx_{\mu_2} \dots dx_{\mu_k}. \quad (96)$$

Therefore, to a k -form $\omega^k = dx_{\mu_1} dx_{\mu_2} \dots dx_{\mu_k}$ we associate a unique noncommutative form $\hat{\omega}^k = \xi_{\mu_1} \xi_{\mu_2} \dots \xi_{\mu_k}$ satisfying $\hat{\omega}^k \cdot 1 = \omega^k$. The star-product of $\omega^k = dx_{\mu_1} dx_{\mu_2} \dots dx_{\mu_k}$ and $\eta^l = dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \dots dx_{\nu_l}$ is trivially given by

$$\omega^k \star_\phi \eta^l = (\xi_{\mu_1} \xi_{\mu_2} \dots \xi_{\mu_k} \xi_{\nu_1} \xi_{\nu_2} \dots \xi_{\nu_l}) \cdot 1. \quad (97)$$

In view of Eq. (96) the star-product of constant forms is undeformed,

$$\omega^k \star_\phi \eta^l = \omega^k \eta^l, \quad (98)$$

and graded-commutative,

$$\omega^k \star_\phi \eta^l = (-1)^{kl} \eta^l \star_\phi \omega^k. \quad (99)$$

Now suppose that ω^k is a general k -form $\omega^k = p(x) dx_{\sigma_1} dx_{\sigma_2} \dots dx_{\sigma_k}$ where $p(x)$ is a monomial in x_μ . Then the associated noncommutative form is given by $\hat{\omega}^k = \omega^k \cdot \hat{1}$ where we define $\xi_\mu \cdot \hat{1} = \xi_\mu$. This yields

$$\hat{\omega}^k = \Omega_\phi^{-1}(p(x)) \xi_{\sigma_1} \xi_{\sigma_2} \dots \xi_{\sigma_k}. \quad (100)$$

Indeed, let us denote $\hat{p}(\hat{x}) = \Omega_\phi^{-1}(p(x))$. Using commutativity of dx_μ with x_μ and ∂_μ we obtain

$$\hat{\omega}_k = \sum_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k} dx_{\rho_1} dx_{\rho_2} \dots dx_{\rho_k} \hat{p}(\hat{x}) h_{\rho_1 \sigma_1} h_{\rho_2 \sigma_2} \dots h_{\rho_k \sigma_k} \quad (101)$$

$$= dx_{\sigma_1} dx_{\sigma_2} \dots dx_{\sigma_k} (\hat{p}(\hat{x}) + o(\partial)). \quad (102)$$

Thus,

$$\hat{\omega}_k \cdot 1 = p(x) dx_{\sigma_1} dx_{\sigma_2} \dots dx_{\sigma_k} = \omega^k \quad (103)$$

since $\hat{p}(\hat{x}) \cdot 1 = p(x)$. We note that $\hat{\omega}^k$ given by Eq. (100) is a unique noncommutative form (up to reordering of $\hat{x}_\mu$ in $\hat{p}(\hat{x})$ using the commutation relations (1)) with the property $\hat{\omega}^k \cdot 1 = \omega^k$ in which the NC coordinates are naturally ordered to the left of ξ_μ . If $\omega^k = p(x) dx_{\mu_1} dx_{\mu_2} \dots dx_{\mu_k}$ and $\eta^l = q(x) dx_{\nu_1} dx_{\nu_2} \dots dx_{\nu_l}$, then Eqs. (95) and (100) yield

$$\omega^k \star_\phi \eta^l = (\hat{p}(\hat{x}) \xi_{\mu_1} \dots \xi_{\mu_k} \hat{q}(\hat{x}) \xi_{\nu_1} \dots \xi_{\nu_l}) \cdot 1 \quad (104)$$

where $\hat{p}(\hat{x}) = \Omega_\phi^{-1}(p(x))$ and $\hat{q}(\hat{x}) = \Omega_\phi^{-1}(q(x))$. The star-product (104) is not graded-commutative since $\hat{x}_\mu$ and ξ_μ do not commute. The product is well-defined provided the commutators $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ are closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ . In this case one can use the commutation relations between ξ_μ and $\hat{x}_\nu$ to write (104) in the natural order with $\hat{x}_\mu$ to the left of ξ_μ and evaluate the star-product using $(\hat{p}(\hat{x}) \xi_{\mu_1} \dots \xi_{\mu_k}) \cdot 1 = p(x) dx_{\mu_1} \dots dx_{\mu_k}$. In view of earlier considerations, the extended star-product can be defined in the covariant left, right and non-covariant realizations discussed in sections 3 and 4. We note that the extended star-product is associative since this property is inherited from associativity of operator multiplication in the superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$.

Finally, let us consider the exterior derivative acting on the star-product of forms. In the realization of type I the exterior derivative is undeformed, $\hat{d} = d \equiv \sum_{\alpha} dx_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}$. Then one can show that

$$d\omega = (\hat{d}\hat{\omega}) \cdot 1, \quad (105)$$

where $\hat{\omega} \cdot 1 = \omega$. Using the star-product (95) and Leibniz rule (17) one finds

$$d(\omega \star_{\phi} \eta) = d\omega \star_{\phi} \eta + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \star_{\phi} d\eta. \quad (106)$$

Hence, in type I realization the Leibniz rule for the extended star-product is undeformed. It would be interesting to investigate the action of the induced exterior derivative on the star-product of forms in other realizations when $\hat{d}$ is given by a general expression (11).

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have investigated the differential algebra of forms on the kappa-deformed space. Our construction of the exterior derivative $\hat{d}$ and one-forms ξ_{μ} is based on the realizations of NC coordinates $\hat{x}_{\mu}$ in terms of formal power series in the Weyl algebra. We have shown that for each realization of $\hat{x}_{\mu}$ there is an infinite family of the exterior derivatives $\hat{d}$ which uniquely determine the one-forms ξ_{μ} . The exterior derivative is a nilpotent operator and it satisfies the undeformed Leibniz rule. The NC coordinates $\hat{x}_{\mu}$, derivatives ∂_{μ} and one-forms ξ_{μ} generate a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra. The subalgebra generated by $\hat{x}_{\mu}$ and ∂_{μ} is a deformed Heisenberg algebra. The algebra generated by $\hat{x}_{\mu}$ and ξ_{μ} is generally not closed under the commutator bracket since $[\xi_{\mu}, \hat{x}_{\nu}]$ may involve an infinite series in ∂_{μ} . Only in special cases of the covariant left, right and noncovariant realizations the algebra is closed under the commutator bracket. Furthermore, the commutator $[\xi_{\mu}, \hat{x}_{\nu}]$ is nonzero in all realizations. For higher-order forms we have shown that the exterior derivative satisfies the graded Leibniz rule, and the graded Jacobi identity also holds. However, the graded commutativity law holds only for $\hat{x}_{\mu}$ -independent forms. In the limit when the deformation parameter $a \rightarrow 0$ our theory reduces to classical results.

The exterior derivative and one-forms have been analyzed in both covariant and noncovariant realizations. In the covariant case we have found explicit representations of $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ in the left, right and natural realization. We have also found a closed form expression for the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ in these realizations, and derived an approximation to first order in a in the natural realization. In the noncovariant case we have constructed a one-parameter family of realizations of $\hat{d}$ and ξ_μ . For this family of realizations the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is always closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ .

We have also extended the star-product from zero-forms to differential forms of arbitrary degree. The star-product can be defined for realizations in which $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ is closed in the space of one-forms ξ_μ . It depends only on the realizations of both the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$. For differential forms with constant coefficients the star-product is undeformed and graded-commutative, but for arbitrary forms this is no longer true. It was shown that the exterior derivative acting on the extended star-product satisfies the undeformed Leibniz rule in type I realization. It would be interesting to investigate possible relations between our approach to the star-product of differential forms and the recent work presented in [51].

Finally, the notion of the twist operator is very important in the construction of the star-product from both the mathematical ([53], [54]) and physical ([55], [56], [57], [58], [59]) points of view. The twist operator for zero-forms on the kappa-deformed space was constructed in [30] and [59], and was also considered in [27]. However, it remains an open problem to see if there exists a twist operator that leads to the star-product of differential forms defined in this work.

7 Appendix A

In this appendix we find the solution of the system of equations (47)-(48). Let us write Eq. (47) in equivalent forms as

$$(AZ^{-1} - B)\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial A} + 2B\sqrt{1-B}\frac{\partial H_1}{\partial B} + (Z^{-1} - 1)H_1 = 0. \quad (107)$$

We assume that H_1 can be factored as $H_1(A, B) = ZF_1(B)$ which leads to the following differential equation for F_1 ,

$$2B\sqrt{1-B}F_1'(B) + (\sqrt{1-B} - 1)F_1(B) = 0. \quad (108)$$

The boundary condition for H_1 implies that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} F_1(B) = 1$. Now the solution of Eq. (108) is readily found to be

$$F_1(B) = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})}{B}, \quad (109)$$

hence

$$H_1(A, B) = \frac{2(1 - \sqrt{1 - B})}{B(-A + \sqrt{1 - B})}. \quad (110)$$

Next, let us consider Eq. (48) which we write equivalently as

$$(AZ^{-1} - B) \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial A} + 2B\sqrt{1 - B} \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial B} + (2\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)H_2 = -H_1. \quad (111)$$

We apply a similar method of “separation of variables” assuming that $H_2(A, B) = ZF_2(B) + F_3(B)$. Inserting the Ansatz for H_1 and H_2 into Eq. (111), and grouping the terms depending only on B on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$AF_2(B) + Z^{-1} \left(2B\sqrt{1 - B} F_3'(B) + (2\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)F_3(B) \right) = -2B\sqrt{1 - B} F_2'(B) - (2\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)F_2(B) - F_1(B). \quad (112)$$

Let us define the function

$$G(B) = 2B\sqrt{1 - B} F_3'(B) + (2\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)F_3(B). \quad (113)$$

Then the variables in Eq. (112) can be separated as

$$A(F_2(B) - G(B)) = -2B\sqrt{1 - B} F_2'(B) - (2\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)F_2(B) - F_1(B) - \sqrt{1 - B} G(B). \quad (114)$$

We conclude that both sides of the equation must be zero which implies that F_2 and F_3 satisfy the following system of differential equations:

$$2B\sqrt{1 - B} F_2'(B) + (3\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)F_2(B) = -F_1(B), \quad (115)$$

$$2B\sqrt{1 - B} F_3'(B) + (2\sqrt{1 - B} - 1)F_3(B) = F_2(B). \quad (116)$$

Using the boundary condition for H_2 we find that in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$ both $F_2(B)$ and $F_3(B)$ must be finite. Taking this into account, integration of the system (115)-(116) yields

$$F_2(B) = F_3(B) = -2 \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - B}}{B} \right)^2. \quad (117)$$

Therefore,

$$H_2(A, B) = -2(1 - A + \sqrt{1 - B}) \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - B}}{B} \right)^2. \quad (118)$$

8 Appendix B

In this Appendix we give a brief derivation of the result (65). We shall do this in two steps. First we calculate the commutator $[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu]$ where $\xi_\mu = \sum_\alpha dx_\alpha h_{\alpha\mu}(\partial)$ and $\hat{x}_\nu$ is given in the natural realization (30). We have

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = Z^{-1} \sum_\alpha [h_{\alpha\mu}, x_\nu] dx_\alpha + \partial_\nu \sum_\alpha [h_{\alpha\mu}, iax] dx_\alpha. \quad (119)$$

Expressing $h_{\alpha\mu}$ by Eq. (56) and making use of

$$\frac{\partial f(A, B)}{\partial \partial_\mu} = i \frac{\partial f}{\partial A} a_\mu + 2a^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial B} \partial_\mu, \quad (120)$$

after some manipulation we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha [h_{\alpha\mu}, x_\nu] dx_\alpha &= \left(i \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial A} a_\nu + 2a^2 \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial B} \partial_\nu \right) dx_\mu \\ &+ (iL_3 a_\mu + a^2 L_4 \partial_\mu) dx_\nu + iS_{\mu\nu}(adx) + T_{\mu\nu}(\partial dx), \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu\nu} &= L_2 \delta_{\mu\nu} + 2 \left(B \frac{\partial L_5}{\partial B} + L_5 \right) i a_\mu \partial_\nu + \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial A} i a_\nu \partial_\mu \\ &+ 2a^2 \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial B} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \partial^2 \frac{\partial L_5}{\partial A} a_\mu a_\nu, \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu} = a^2 L_4 \delta_{\mu\nu} + 2a^2 \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial B} i a_\mu \partial_\nu + a^2 \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial A} i a_\nu \partial_\mu + 2a^4 \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial B} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu - \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial A} a_\mu a_\nu. \quad (123)$$

A similar computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha [h_{\alpha\mu}, iax] dx_\alpha &= a^2 E_1 dx_\mu + (iE_2 a_\mu + a^2 E_3 \partial_\mu)(iadx) + a^2 (iE_4 a_\mu + a^2 E_5 \partial_\mu)(\partial dx) \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

where the functions E_i are defined by

$$E_1 = 2A \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial A}, \quad (125)$$

$$E_2 = L_2 + L_3 + 2AL_5 + 2AB \frac{\partial L_5}{\partial B} - B \frac{\partial L_5}{\partial A}, \quad (126)$$

$$E_3 = L_4 + 2A \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial L_2}{\partial A}, \quad (127)$$

$$E_4 = L_4 + 2A \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial L_3}{\partial A}, \quad (128)$$

$$E_5 = 2A \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial B} - \frac{\partial L_4}{\partial A}. \quad (129)$$

Combining equations (121) and (124) we obtain

$$[\xi_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = dx_\mu P_\nu^{(1)} + dx_\nu P_\mu^{(2)}(\partial) + (iadx) Q_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (\partial dx) Q_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}. \quad (130)$$

where the functions $P_\mu^{(i)}$ and $Q_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}$ are given by

$$P_\nu^{(1)} = Z^{-1} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial A} ia_\nu + a^2 \left(2Z^{-1} \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial B} + E_1 \right) \partial_\nu, \quad (131)$$

$$P_\mu^{(2)} = Z^{-1} L_3 ia_\mu + a^2 Z^{-1} L_4 \partial_\mu, \quad (132)$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = Z^{-1} S_{\mu\nu} + E_2 ia_\mu \partial_\nu + a^2 E_3 \partial_\mu \partial_\nu, \quad (133)$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} = Z^{-1} T_{\mu\nu} + a^2 E_4 ia_\mu \partial_\nu + a^4 E_5 \partial_\mu \partial_\nu. \quad (134)$$

In the second step we wish to express the commutator (130) in terms of the one-forms ξ_μ and derivatives ∂_μ . In order to replace dx_μ by ξ_μ we write $dx_\mu = \sum_\alpha h_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial) \xi_\alpha$ where $h_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}$ is the inverse of the matrix $h_{\alpha\mu}$. The inverse matrix should have the same index structure as $h_{\alpha\mu}$, hence we look for $h_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}$ in the form

$$h_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial) = G_1 \delta_{\alpha\mu} + iG_2 a_\alpha \partial_\mu + iG_3 a_\mu \partial_\alpha + a^2 G_4 \partial_\alpha \partial_\mu - \partial^2 G_5 a_\alpha a_\mu. \quad (135)$$

The condition $\sum_\alpha h_{\alpha\beta} h_{\beta\mu}^{-1} = \delta_{\alpha\mu}$ implies that the functions G_k satisfy the following system of equations:

$$G_1 = L_1^{-1}, \quad (136)$$

$$-(L_1 + AL_2 - BL_5)G_2 - B(L_2 + AL_5)G_4 = L_2 L_1^{-1}, \quad (137)$$

$$(L_3 - AL_4)G_2 - (L_1 + AL_3 + BL_4)G_4 = L_4 L_1^{-1}, \quad (138)$$

$$-(L_1 + AL_3 + BL_4)G_3 + B(L_3 - AL_4)G_5 = L_3 L_1^{-1}, \quad (139)$$

$$-(L_2 + AL_5)G_3 - (L_1 + AL_2 - BL_5)G_5 = L_5 L_1^{-1}. \quad (140)$$

The solution of the system is given by

$$G_2 = \frac{1}{M} \left[- (L_1 + AL_3 + BL_4)L_2 + B(L_2 + AL_5)L_4 \right], \quad (141)$$

$$G_3 = -\frac{1}{M} \left[(L_1 + AL_2 - BL_5)L_3 + B(L_3 - AL_4)L_5 \right], \quad (142)$$

$$G_4 = -\frac{1}{M} \left[(L_3 - AL_4)L_2 + (L_1 + AL_2 - BL_5)L_4 \right], \quad (143)$$

$$G_5 = \frac{1}{M} \left[(L_2 + AL_5)L_3 - (L_1 + AL_3 + BL_4)L_5 \right], \quad (144)$$

where

$$M = L_1 \left[(L_1 + AL_2 - BL_5)(L_1 + AL_3 + BL_4) + B(L_2 + AL_5)(L_3 - AL_4) \right]. \quad (145)$$

Now, with the functions G_k defined as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dx_\mu &= \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha\mu}^{-1}(\partial) \xi_{\alpha} \\ &= G_1 \xi_{\mu} + (\partial^2 G_5 i a_{\mu} + G_2 \partial_{\mu}) (i a \xi) + (G_3 i a_{\mu} + a^2 G_4 \partial_{\mu}) (\partial \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

Using Eq. (146) to eliminate dx_{μ} from the commutator (130) we obtain

$$[\xi_{\mu}, \hat{x}_{\nu}] = \xi_{\mu} \frac{P_{\nu}^{(2)}}{L_1} + \xi_{\nu} \frac{P_{\mu}^{(2)}}{L_1} + (i a \xi) R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (\partial \xi) R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}, \quad (147)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ and $R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} &= \partial^2 G_5 (P_{\nu}^{(1)} i a_{\mu} + P_{\mu}^{(2)} i a_{\nu}) + G_2 (P_{\nu}^{(1)} \partial_{\mu} + P_{\mu}^{(2)} \partial_{\nu}) \\ &\quad + (G_1 + AG_2 - BG_5) Q_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \partial^2 (G_2 + AG_5) Q_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} &= G_3 (P_{\nu}^{(1)} i a_{\mu} + P_{\mu}^{(2)} i a_{\nu}) + a^2 G_4 (P_{\nu}^{(1)} \partial_{\mu} + P_{\mu}^{(2)} \partial_{\nu}) \\ &\quad + a^2 (AG_4 - G_3) Q_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + (G_1 + AG_3 + BG_4) Q_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

Tracing back the computations we can express the commutator (147) explicitly in terms of $L_1, \dots, L_5$ and their partial derivatives, but the expressions are cumbersome and not useful for practical calculations.

Aknowledgements. This work is supported by the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sports grants no. 098-0000000-2865 and 177-0372794-2816.

References

- [1] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, “The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields”, Phys. Lett. **B 331**, 39 (1994); Commun. Math. Phys. **172** (1), 187 (1995) [hep-th/0303037].
- [2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry”, JHEP **09**, 032 (1999) [hep-th/9908142].
J. de Boer, P. A. Grassi and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Noncommutative superspace from string theory”, Phys. Lett. **B 574**, 98 (2003) [hep-th/0302078].
- [3] M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, “Noncommutative field theory”, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 977 (2001) [hep-th/0106048].
- [4] R. J. Szabo, “Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces”, Phys. Rept. **378**, 207 (2003) [hep-th/0109162].
R. J. Szabo, “Symmetry, gravity and noncommutativity”, Class. Quant. Grav. **23** (2006) R199-R242, [hep-th/0606233].
- [5] P. Aschieri, B. Jurco, P. Schupp and J. Wess, “Noncommutative GUTs, standard model and C,P,T”, Nucl. Phys. **B 651**, 45 (2003) [hep-th/0205214].
P. Aschieri, C. Blohmann, M. Dimitrijević, F. Meyer, P. Schupp and J. Wess, “A gravity theory on noncommutative spaces”, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 3511 (2005) [hep-th/0504183].
X. Calmet and A. Kobakhidze, “Noncommutative general relativity”, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 045010 (2005) [hep-th/0506157].
- [6] A.P. Balachandran, T.R. Govindarajan, C. Molina and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, “Unitary quantum physics with time-space noncommutativity”, JHEP **0410**, 72 (2004).
A.P. Balachandran, T.R. Govindarajan, A.G. Martins and P. Teotonio-Sobrinho, “Time-space noncommutativity: quantised evolutions”, JHEP **0411**, 68 (2004).
- [7] M. Chaichian, P. P. Kulish, K. Nishijima and A. Tureanu, “On a Lorentz-invariant interpretation on noncommutative space-time and its implications

- on noncommutative QFT”, Phys. Lett. **B 604**, 98 (2004) [hep-th/0408069].
M. Chaichian, P. Presnajder and A. Tureanu, “New concept of relativistic invariance in NC space-time: Twisted Poincaré symmetry and its implications”, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 151602 (2005) [hep-th/0409096].
- [8] M. Li and Y.S. Wu (eds.), Physics in Noncommutative World: Field Theories, Rinton Press (2002).
- [9] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki, H. Ruegg and V. N. Tolstoy, “Q-deformation of Poincaré algebra”, Phys. Lett. **B 264**, 331 (1991).
- [10] J. Lukierski, A. Nowicki and H. Ruegg, “New quantum Poincaré algebra, and κ -deformed field theory”, Phys. Lett. **B 293**, 344 (1992).
- [11] J. Lukierski and H. Ruegg, “Quantum κ -Poincaré in any dimension”, Phys. Lett. **B 329**, 189 (1994) [hep-th/9310117].
- [12] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, “Bicrossproduct structure of κ -Poincaré group and noncommutative geometry”, Phys. Lett. **B 334**, 348 (1994) [hep-th/9404107].
- [13] P. Kosiński and P. Maślanka, “The duality between κ -Poincaré algebra and κ -Poincaré group”, [hep-th/9411033].
- [14] A. Sitarz, “Noncommutative differential calculus on the kappa-Minkowski space”, Phys. Lett. **B 349**, 42 (1995) [hep-th/9409014].
- [15] K. Kosiński, J. Lukierski and P. Maślanka, “Local $D = 4$ field theory on κ -Minkowski space”, Phys. Rev. **D 62**, 025004 (2000) [hep-th/9902037].
- [16] K. Kosiński, J. Lukierski and P. Maślanka, “Local field theory on κ -Minkowski space, $\star$ -products and noncommutative translations”, Czech. J. Phys. **50**, 1283 (2000) [hep-th/0009120].
- [17] P. Kosiński, J. Lukierski, P. Maślanka and A. Sitarz, “Generalised κ -deformations and deformed relativistic scalar fields on noncommutative Minkowski space”, [hep-th/0307038].

- [18] G. Amelino-Camelia, “Testable scenario for relativity with minimum-length”, Phys. Lett. **510**, 255 (2001) [hep-th/0012238].
 G. Amelino-Camelia, “Relativity in space-times with short-distance structure governed by an observer-independent (Planckian) length scale”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D 11**, 35 (2002) [gr-qc/0012051].
 N. R. Bruno, G. Amelino-Camelia and J. Kowalski-Glikman, “Deformed boost transformations that saturate at the Planck scale”, Phys. Lett. **B 522**, 133 (2001) [hep-th/0107039].
- [19] J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, “Double special relativity theories as different bases of kappa-Poincaré algebra”, Phys. Lett. **B 539**, 126 (2002) [hep-th/0203040].
- [20] G. Amelino-Camelia and M. Arzano, “Coproduct and star-product in field theories on Lie algebra noncommutative spacetime”, Phys. Rev. **D 65**, 084044 (2002) [hep-th/0105120].
- [21] A. Agostini, F. Lizzi and A. Zampini, “Generalized Weyl systems and κ -Minkowski space”, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 17**, 2105 (2002) [hep-th/0209174].
- [22] G. Amelino-Camelia, F. D’Andrea and G. Mandanici, “Group velocity in noncommutative spacetime”, JCAP **0309**, 006 (2003) [hep-th/0211022].
- [23] M. Dimitrijević, L. Jonke, L. Möller, E. Tsouchnika, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, “Deformed field theory on κ -spacetime”, Eur. Phys. **C 31**, 129 (2003) [hep-th/0307149].
- [24] M. Dimitrijević, F. Meyer, L. Möller and J. Wess, “Gauge theories on the κ -Minkowski space time”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 36**, 117 (2004) [hep-th/0310116].
- [25] M. Dimitrijević, L. Möller and E. Tsouchnika, “Derivatives, forms and vector fields on the κ -deformed Euclidean space”, J. Phys. **A 37**, 9749 (2004) [hep-th/0404224].
- [26] S. Meljanac and M. Stojić, “New realizations of Lie algebra kappa-deformed Euclidean space”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 47**, 531 (2006) [hep-th/0605133].

- [27] J.G. Bu, H.C. Kim, Y. Lee, C.H. Vac and J.H. Yee, “ κ -deformed Spacetime from Twist”, [hep-th/0611175v2], Phys. Lett. **B 665**, 95 (2008).
- [28] S. Meljanac, S. Krešić-Jurić and M. Stojić, “Covariant realizations of kappa-deformed space”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 51**, 229 (2007) [hep-th/0702215].
- [29] S. Meljanac, A. Samsarov, M. Stojić and K.S. Gupta, “Kappa-Minkowski space-time and the star-product realizations”, Eur.Phys.J. **C 53** (2008) 295-309 [arXiv:0705.2471].
- [30] S. Meljanac and S. Krešić, “Generalized kappa-deformed spaces, star-products and their realizations”, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 235203 (2008).
- [31] L. Möller, “A symmetry invariant integral on κ -deformed spacetime, JHEP **0512**”, 029 (2005) [hep-th/0409128].
A. Agostini, G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano and F. D’Andrea, “Action functional for κ -Minkowski noncommutative spacetime”, [hep-th/0407227].
C. Chrysosmolakos and E. Okon, “Star product and invariant integration for Lie type noncommutative spacetimes”, JHEP **08**, 012 (2007) [hep-th/0705.3780].
- [32] A. Agostini, G. Amelino-Camelia, M. Arzano, A. Marciano and R.A. Tacchi, “Generalizing the Noether theorem for Hopf-algebra spacetime symmetries”, [hep-th/0607221].
M. Arzano and A. Marciano, “Symplectic geometry and Noether charges for Hopf algebra space-time symmetries”, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 081701 (2007) [hep-th/0701268].
- [33] L. Freidel, J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, “From noncommutative kappa-Minkowski to Minkowski space-time”, Phys. Lett. **B 648** (1), 70 (2007) [hep-th/0612170].
- [34] G. Amelino-Camelia, J. Lukierski and A. Nowicki, “kappa-Deformed Covariant Phase Space and Quantum Gravity”, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **61**, 1811 (1998) [hep-th/9706031v1].

- [35] M. Daskiewicz, K. Imilkowska, J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, “Scalar Field Theory on κ -Minkowski Space-Time and Doubly Special Relativity”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 20**, 4925 (2005) [hep-th/0410058v3].
- [36] M. Daskiewicz, J. Lukierski and M. Woronowicz, “Quantization of κ -Deformed Free Fields and κ -Deformed Oscillators”, [hep-th/0712.0350v1].
- [37] G. Landi, An Introduction to Noncommutative Spaces and Their Geometry (Lecture Notes in Physics), Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002) [hep-th/9701078].
- [38] J. Wess, “Deformed coordinates spaces; Derivatives”, Lecture given at the Balkan workshop BW2003, August 2003, Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia, [hep-th/0408080].
- [39] D. Bonatsos and C. Daskaloyannis, “General deformation schemes and $N = 2$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics”, Phys. Lett. **B 307**, 100 (1993).
S. Meljanac, M. Mileković and S. Pallua, “Unified view of deformed single-mode oscillator algebras”, Phys. Lett. **B 328**, 55 (1994) [hep-th/9404039].
- [40] S. Meljanac and M. Mileković, “Unified view of multimode algebras with Fock-like representation”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 11**, 1391 (1996).
- [41] S. Meljanac and A. Perica, “Number operators in a general quon algebra”, J. Phys. **A 27**, 4737 (1994).
S. Meljanac and A. Perica, “Generalized quon statistics”, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A 9**, 3293 (1994) [hep-th/9409180].
S. Meljanac, A. Perica and D. Svrtan, “The energy operator for a model with a multiparametric infinite statistics”, J. Phys. **A 36**, 6337 (2003) [math-ph/0304038].
- [42] V. Bardek and S. Meljanac, “Deformed Heisenberg algebras, a Fock space representation and the Calogero model”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 17**, 539 (2000) [hep-th/0009099].
V. Bardek, L. Jonke, S. Meljanac and M. Mileković, “Calogero model, deformed oscillators and the collapse”, Phys. Lett. **B 531**, 311 (2002) [hep-th/0107053].

- [43] S. Meljanac, M. Mileković and M. Stojić, “Permutation invariant algebras, a Fock space realization and the Calogero model”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 24**, 331 (2002) [math-ph/0201061].
- [44] L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, “Bosonic realization of algebras in the Calogero model”, Phys. Lett. **B 526**, 149 (2002) [hep-th/0106135].
- [45] V. P. Nair and A. P. Polychronakos, “Quantum mechanics on the noncommutative plane and sphere”, Phys. Lett. **B 505**, 267 (2001) [hep-th/0011172].
- [46] L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, “Representations of noncommutative quantum mechanics and symmetries”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 29**, 433 (2003) [hep-th/0210042].
I. Dadić, L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, “Harmonic oscillator on noncommutative spaces”, Acta Phys. Slovaca **55**, 149 (2005) [hep-th/0301066].
- [47] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R.B. Mann, “Hilbert space representation of the minimal length uncertainty relation”, Phys. Rev. **D 52**, 1108 (1995) [hep-th/9412167].
L. N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura and T. Takeuchi, “The effect of the minimal length uncertainty relation on the density of states and the cosmological constant problem”, Phys. Rev. **D 65**, 125027 (2002) [hep-th/0201017].
I. Dadić, L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, “Harmonic oscillator with minimal length uncertainty relations and ladder operators”, Phys. Rev. **D 67**, 087701 (2003) [hep-th/0210264].
- [48] N. Durov, S. Meljanac, A. Samsarov and Z. Škoda, “A universal formula for representing Lie algebra generators as formal power series with coefficients in the Weyl algebra”, J. Algebra **309** (1), 318 (2007) [math.RT/0604096].
- [49] Z. Škoda, “Twisted exterior derivatives for enveloping algebras”, [arXiv:0806.0978].
- [50] H.C. Kim, Y. Lee, C. Rim and J.H. Yee, “Differential structure on the κ -Minkowski spacetimes from twist”, [arXiv:0808.2866v1].

- [51] S. McCurdy, A. Tagliaferro and B. Zumino, “The Star Product for Differential Forms on Symplectic Manifolds”, [arXiv:0809.4717].
- [52] V.G. Kupriyanov, D.V. Vassilevich, “Star products made (somewhat) easier”, [arXiv:0806.4615].
- [53] V.D. Drinfeld, ”Quantum Groups”, in Proceedings of the ICM, A. Gleason ed., AMS, Rhode Island (1987), pp. 798–820.
- [54] S. Majid, Foundations of Quantum Group Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [55] P. Aschieri, F. Lizzi and P. Vitale, “Twisting all the way: from Classical Mechanics to Quantum Fields”, [arXiv:0708.3002v2].
- [56] R. Banerjee and S. Samanta, “Gauge Symmetries on θ -Deformed Spaces”, JHEP 02:046 (2007) [hep-th/0611249].
R. Banerjee and S. Samanta, “Gauge Generators, Transformations and Identities on a Noncommutative Space”, Eur. Phys. J. **C 51**, 207 (2007) [hep-th/0608214].
R. Banerjee, P. Mukherjee and S. Samanta, “Lie Algebraic Noncommutative Gravity”, Phys. Rev. **D 75**, 125020 (2007) [hep-th/0703128].
- [57] M. Arzano and D. Benedetti, “Rainbow statistics”, [arXiv:0809.0889v1].
- [58] C.A.S. Young and R. Zegers, “Covariant particle statistics and intertwiners of the κ -deformed Poincare algebra”, [arXiv:0711.2206v2].
- [59] T.R. Govindarajan, K.S. Gupta, E. Harikumar, S. Meljanac and D. Meljanac, “Twisted Statistics in κ -Minkowski Spacetime”, Phy. Rev. **D 77** 105010, to appear [arXiv:08021576v2].